

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,596	10/020,596 12/07/2001		Michael M. Becker	GP123-02.UT	6565
21365	7590	04/26/2006	EXAMINER		INER
		RPORATED NTER DRIVE	SISSON, BRADLEY L		
SAN DIEGO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			1634	

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Total Examiner Art Unit 1634		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Bradley L. Sisson 1634		10/020,596	BECKER, MICHAEL M.					
The MALING DATE of this communication epipears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edaminor to time may be available under the provisions of 37 FR1.1366, in no rewish, because, may a reply the timely field with 15% (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Failus to provision the provision of 37 FR1.1366, in no rewish, because the application become BANCHODE (6) U.S. £ 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three mostites after the mailing date of this communication. Failus to provision the adjustment. See 37 GFR 1.73(ti). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 07 February 2006. 22) □ This action is FINAL. 2b □ This action is format. 2b □ This action is final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-25.27-32.34.36 and 61 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5□ □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6□ □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6□ □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6□ □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The charmonic papers is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1□ □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Ceptied copies of the priority documents have been received in Application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). **	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(s). In no revert, however, may a reply be timely filed Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(s). In no revert, however, may a reply be timely filed Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(s). In no revert, however, may a reply be timely filed Extensions of the major that the third that the third the more intension statutory provided under place and the 10 communication. Failure to reply within the set or octended period for reply will. By statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (36 U.3 C.§ 133). Any reply reveals the third that the three membras during the major that the third that the three membras during the major that the third that the major		Bradley L. Sisson	1634					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extractions of them may be available under the proxima of 37 CPR 1.13(o.) In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If NO period for reply is specified above, the modifium statutory period will apply and will expire SDX (59 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallute for reply the specified above, the modifium statutory period will apply and will expire SDX (59 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallute for reply the specified above, the modifium statutory period will apply and will expire SDX (59 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallute for reply the specified above, the modifium statutory period will apply and will expire SDX (50 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallute for reply the office later than three ments after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any ceremed place to a specific communication of the specific and the specific communication. 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on OT February 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25.27-32.34.36 and 61 is/are pending in the application. 4) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25.27-32.34.36 and 61 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-25.27-32.34.36 and 61 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) Claim(s) 1-25.27-32.34.36 and 61 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) Claim(s) 1-25.27-32.34.36 and 61 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 7) Claim(s) 1-25.27-32.34.36 and 61 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 8) Claim(s) 1-25.27-32.34.36 and 61 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 9	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 February 2006. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) 1-25.27-32.34.36 and 61 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) 1-25.27-32.34.36 and 61 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) 1-25.27-32.34.36 and 61 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25.27-32.34.36 and 61 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25.27-32.34.36 and 61 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Status							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25,27-32,34,36 and 61 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑ Thi	s action is non-final.						
Application of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-25.27-32,34,36 and 61 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25.27-32,34,36 and 61 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Mail Date	,							
4) Claim(s) 1-25,27-32,34,36 and 61 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25,27-32,34,36 and 61 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 40	03 U.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Disposition of Claims							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-25,27-32,34,36 and 61</u> is/are rejection of the company o	awn from consideration.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Application Papers							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a cepted of the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
a) \[All \] b) \[Some * c) \[None of: 1. \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. \[Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) \[Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \[Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate					

Application/Control Number: 10/020,596 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 16 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 1, which claim 16 depends from, stipulates that one is to add dissociating agent "in an amount sufficient to dissociate said polymer from said complex after said probe and said target nucleic acid have had sufficient time to associate in said sample." Such language clearly requires that a complex comprising the polycationic polymer be formed, else, one cannot dissociate it from the hybridized nucleic acids. Given such, the aspect of claim 16 reciting that "a complex comprising said polymer is formed in said sample under said conditions" is not deemed to further limit claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-25, 27-32, 34, 36, and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the

Art Unit: 1634

claimed invention. Attention is directed to the decision in *University of Rochester v. G.D. Searle* & Co. 68 USPQ2D 1424 (Fed. Cir. 2004) at 1428:

To satisfy the written-description requirement, the specification must describe every element of the claimed invention in sufficient detail so that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the inventor possessed the claimed invention at the time of filing. Vas-Cath, 935 F.3d at 1563; see also Lockwood v. American Airlines, Inc., 107 F.3d 1565, 1572 [41 USPQ2d 1961] (Fed. Cir. 1997) (patent specification must describe an invention and do so in sufficient detail that one skilled in the art can clearly conclude that "the inventor invented the claimed invention"); In re Gosteli, 872 F.2d 1008, 1012 [10 USPQ2d 1614] (Fed. Cir. 1989) ("the description must clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [the inventor] invented what is claimed"). Thus, an applicant complies with the written-description requirement "by describing the invention, with all its claimed limitations, not that which makes it obvious," and by using "such descriptive means as words, structures, figures, diagrams, formulas, etc., that set forth the claimed invention." Lockwood, 107 F.3d at 1572.

- 3. As presently worded, the method of claims 1-5, 7-25, 27-32, 34, and 61 fairly encompasses the use of a polycationic polymer at virtually any concentration. Similarly, the method of claims 1-6, 8-25, 27-32, 34, and 61 and where the polycationic polymer has virtually any mass. A review of the disclosure finds support for using the polymer within a range of from "about 10 μ M to about 1000 μ M" (page 30, lines 20-23), and where the polycationic polymer has a mass of from "at least 10,000 Da" to "less than about 300,000 Da" (page 30, lines 24-29).
- 4. It is further noted that the method of claims 1, 3-25, 27-32, 34, 36, and 61 fairly encompass having cationic monomers (can be found in the polymer), and the phosphate groups of the nucleic acids, are in relative equal numbers. As set forth at page 30, second paragraph, the aspect of having both cationic monomers and phosphate groups present in relative the same amounts could well lead to precipitation of the polynucleotide from solution, which "is undesirable."

Art Unit: 1634

A review of the specification fails to locate an adequate written description of alternative

Page 4

concentrations, masses, and molar ratios of polycationic polymers to be using. Such non-

disclosure of the full scope of the claimed invention does not reasonably suggest that applicant

had possession of the invention at the time of filing. It appears that applicant is attempting to

satisfy the written description requirement of 35 USC 112, first paragraph, through obviousness.

Obviousness, however, cannot be relied upon for satisfaction of the written description

requirement. In support of this position, attention is directed to the decision in *University of*

California v. Eli Lilly and Co. (Fed. Cir. 1997) 43 USPQ2d at 1405, citing Lockwood v.

American Airlines Inc. (Fed. Cir. 1997) 41 USPQ2d at 1966:

Recently, we held that a description which renders obvious a claimed invention is not sufficient to satisfy the written description requirement of that invention.

- In view of the limited disclosure, as well as the explicit statements of issues/non-working embodiments to be avoided, applicant is urged to consider adding the limitations of claims 2, 6, and 7 to that of claim 1.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. Claim 17 is confusing as to what applicant is referring to when the phrase "a plurality of polymers" is used. Given that DNA, RNA, as well as the polycationic polymer are all polymers

Application/Control Number: 10/020,596 Page 5

Art Unit: 1634

of one stripe or another, it is less than clear if the term "polymer" in this instance is referring to any one of these identified polymers, or whether a previously unidentified polymer is being contemplated here.

- 9. Similar confusion exists with respect to claim 18. As required in claim 1, the polycationic polymer is to complex with the nucleic acids, be it target or probe, or a duplex structure comprising both. If these are the only polymers present, and a covalent linkage between said polymers is formed, it is less than clear how a "dissociation" agent would remove the polycationic polymer and not also disrupt the probe-target duplex structure.
- 10. Claim 21 is confusing with respect to just how much of an "increase" of association between probe and target needs to actually take place. As presently worded, claim 21 recites the limitation of "at least about 2-fold greater," however, the term "about has been construed to include values below 2, including 1, which would not be an increase in association. A review of the disclosure fails to find a definition of "about." Accordingly, and in the absence of convincing evidence to the contrary, the metes and bounds of claim 21 cannot be readily determined and as such, it is deemed indefinite.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley L. Sisson whose telephone number is (571) 272-0751. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,596 Page 6

Art Unit: 1634

12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on (571) 272-0735. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bradley L. Sisson Primary Examiner

B. L. Liver

Art Unit 1634

BLS 19 April 2006